

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
Sample	1842	339	323	96*	104	493	363	124	884	958	521	637	685	250	306	329	271	331	356	584	546	505	762	581	498

Regional sample sizes are unweighted. All other sample sizes, including column totals, are weighted to census data. Cells containing "0%" are statistically zero, although at least one response was received. Blank cells indicate zero responses received. * indicates small sample size.

Q1. First, in shaping Canada's energy policy, what do you see as the biggest priority?

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
Protecting the environment	55%	57%	30%	38%	58%	51%	72%	57%	46%	63%	67%	52%	48%	55%	43%	41%	77%	61%	54%	63%	54%	47%	51%	54%	60%
Promoting economic growth	45%	43%	70%	62%	42%	49%	28%	43%	54%	37%	33%	48%	52%	45%	57%	59%	23%	39%	46%	37%	46%	53%	49%	46%	40%

Q2. How closely would you say you have been following this issue?

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
Seeing a lot of media coverage and discussing it with friends and family	36%	47%	68%	55%	29%	33%	20%	25%	45%	27%	33%	35%	39%	46%	44%	44%	20%	27%	34%	28%	38%	43%	33%	36%	39%
Seeing some media coverage and having the odd conversation	35%	36%	25%	32%	45%	40%	28%	38%	35%	35%	37%	33%	35%	40%	32%	34%	34%	34%	37%	32%	35%	39%	32%	35%	40%
Just scanning the headlines	23%	15%	6%	11%	22%	22%	38%	32%	18%	28%	21%	25%	23%	10%	22%	21%	31%	28%	26%	31%	21%	16%	27%	22%	19%
Not seeing or hearing anything about it	6%	2%	1%	1%	4%	4%	14%	5%	2%	9%	9%	7%	2%	3%	2%	1%	15%	11%	3%	8%	6%	2%	7%	8%	2%
Top2box	71%	83%	93%	87%	75%	74%	49%	63%	80%	62%	70%	68%	74%	87%	75%	78%	54%	61%	70%	61%	73%	82%	66%	71%	78%
Bottom2box	29%	17%	7%	13%	25%	26%	51%	37%	20%	38%	30%	32%	26%	13%	25%	22%	46%	39%	30%	39%	27%	18%	34%	29%	22%

Q3. Would you say you support or oppose the TransMountain pipeline expansion project?

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
Strongly support	34%	34%	71%	64%	36%	35%	14%	31%	46%	24%	24%	33%	44%	39%	44%	52%	11%	22%	36%	22%	36%	45%	37%	35%	30%
Moderately support	24%	20%	15%	16%	23%	28%	22%	28%	25%	22%	20%	23%	27%	22%	25%	27%	18%	21%	27%	25%	24%	23%	24%	23%	23%
Moderately oppose	13%	15%	5%	8%	13%	11%	17%	16%	10%	15%	19%	14%	7%	13%	12%	5%	24%	16%	8%	16%	10%	13%	11%	13%	14%
Strongly oppose	18%	23%	4%	4%	19%	15%	30%	12%	15%	21%	24%	17%	14%	20%	15%	11%	28%	20%	17%	23%	21%	12%	15%	18%	23%
Not Sure/Can't say	11%	7%	4%	8%	9%	11%	18%	13%	5%	17%	13%	13%	9%	6%	4%	5%	19%	21%	12%	15%	9%	8%	13%	11%	10%
Top2box	58%	54%	87%	80%	59%	63%	35%	59%	70%	46%	44%	55%	71%	61%	69%	79%	29%	43%	63%	47%	59%	67%	61%	58%	53%
Bottom2box	31%	38%	10%	11%	32%	26%	47%	28%	24%	37%	43%	31%	21%	33%	26%	16%	52%	36%	25%	38%	31%	25%	27%	30%	37%

Q4. In your view, did the federal government make the right decision or wrong decision in approving the TransMountain pipeline expansion project:

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
Right Decision	56%	52%	85%	71%	56%	61%	31%	63%	65%	47%	45%	54%	65%	61%	62%	72%	31%	46%	59%	45%	56%	67%	57%	56%	53%
Wrong Decision	24%	30%	4%	7%	24%	19%	40%	19%	21%	26%	31%	23%	19%	27%	22%	15%	34%	24%	23%	28%	26%	19%	21%	23%	28%
Not sure/Can't say	21%	17%	11%	21%	20%	20%	29%	19%	14%	27%	24%	23%	16%	12%	16%	13%	35%	30%	18%	27%	19%	13%	22%	21%	18%

Q5. [Top2box] Levels of concern

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
The overall environmental impact of extracting and burning more fossil fuels	66%	69%	39%	45%	67%	64%	82%	69%	57%	74%	75%	64%	60%	64%	55%	54%	85%	73%	67%	75%	65%	58%	63%	64%	73%
The pipeline itself and the risks of major leaks or breaches on its 1,150 km path from Alberta to the BC coast in Burnaby/Vancouver	60%	63%	31%	39%	59%	59%	78%	60%	49%	70%	69%	61%	53%	54%	51%	45%	82%	71%	60%	70%	59%	52%	57%	57%	68%
The environmental impact of constructing the second pipeline beside the existing one	54%	54%	24%	31%	51%	50%	75%	58%	40%	66%	64%	56%	44%	47%	42%	33%	79%	69%	54%	63%	54%	44%	51%	53%	57%
The increased oil tanker traffic in the Burrard Inlet in Metro Vancouver visually detracting from the natural beauty	53%	56%	22%	31%	52%	50%	73%	54%	44%	61%	60%	51%	50%	47%	44%	42%	71%	57%	57%	60%	53%	46%	51%	53%	55%
The risk of an oil spill or accident from a tanker carrying oil through the water of Metro Vancouver	68%	74%	40%	48%	71%	67%	82%	65%	59%	76%	77%	66%	63%	66%	56%	58%	87%	75%	67%	74%	70%	60%	65%	65%	76%

Q5. [Bottom2box] Levels of concern

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
The overall environmental impact of extracting and burning more fossil fuels	34%	31%	61%	55%	33%	36%	18%	31%	43%	26%	25%	36%	40%	36%	45%	46%	15%	27%	33%	25%	35%	42%	37%	36%	27%
The pipeline itself and the risks of major leaks or breaches on its 1,150 km path from AB to the BC coast in Burnaby/Vancouver	40%	37%	69%	61%	41%	41%	22%	40%	51%	30%	31%	39%	47%	46%	49%	55%	18%	29%	40%	30%	41%	48%	43%	43%	32%
The environmental impact of constructing the second pipeline beside the existing one	46%	46%	76%	69%	49%	50%	25%	42%	60%	34%	36%	44%	56%	53%	58%	67%	21%	31%	46%	37%	46%	56%	49%	47%	43%
The increased oil tanker traffic in the Burrard Inlet in Metro Vancouver visually detracting from the natural beauty	47%	44%	78%	69%	48%	50%	27%	46%	56%	39%	40%	49%	50%	53%	56%	58%	29%	43%	43%	40%	47%	54%	49%	47%	45%
The risk of an oil spill or accident from a tanker carrying oil through the water of Metro Vancouver	32%	26%	60%	52%	29%	33%	18%	35%	41%	24%	23%	34%	37%	34%	44%	42%	13%	25%	33%	26%	30%	40%	35%	35%	24%

Q5. Level of concern - The overall environmental impact of extracting and burning more fossil fuels

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
Very concerned	35%	41%	19%	17%	32%	33%	48%	27%	29%	41%	48%	34%	27%	38%	29%	21%	57%	38%	33%	41%	36%	30%	31%	34%	42%
Moderately concerned	31%	28%	19%	28%	35%	31%	34%	42%	28%	33%	27%	31%	33%	26%	26%	32%	29%	35%	34%	33%	29%	28%	32%	30%	30%
Not that concerned	20%	20%	27%	27%	22%	21%	14%	23%	24%	17%	16%	20%	24%	21%	24%	26%	11%	17%	21%	16%	23%	22%	22%	21%	17%
Not concerned at all	14%	10%	34%	28%	11%	15%	4%	8%	19%	9%	9%	16%	16%	15%	21%	20%	4%	11%	12%	10%	12%	19%	15%	10%	
Top2box	66%	69%	39%	45%	67%	64%	82%	69%	57%	74%	75%	64%	60%	64%	55%	54%	85%	73%	67%	75%	65%	58%	63%	64%	73%
Bottom2box	34%	31%	61%	55%	33%	36%	18%	31%	43%	26%	25%	36%	40%	36%	45%	46%	15%	27%	33%	25%	35%	42%	37%	36%	27%

Q5. Level of concern - The pipeline itself and the risks of major leaks or breaches on its 1,150 km path from Alberta to the BC coast in Burnaby/Vancouver

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
Very concerned	31%	36%	12%	22%	27%	27%	49%	27%	23%	39%	42%	31%	24%	27%	25%	19%	55%	36%	29%	40%	33%	22%	28%	34%	33%
Moderately concerned	29%	27%	19%	17%	32%	32%	29%	33%	26%	31%	27%	31%	29%	26%	26%	27%	35%	31%	30%	26%	30%	30%	23%	35%	
Not that concerned	24%	26%	30%	29%	26%	27%	14%	29%	29%	20%	19%	22%	31%	24%	26%	37%	14%	19%	25%	20%	26%	27%	26%	25%	21%
Not concerned at all	15%	11%	39%	32%	15%	14%	8%	11%	21%	10%	13%	16%	17%	23%	23%	19%	4%	10%	14%	10%	15%	21%	16%	18%	11%
Top2box	60%	63%	31%	39%	59%	59%	78%	60%	49%	70%	69%	61%	53%	54%	51%	45%	82%	71%	60%	70%	59%	52%	57%	57%	68%
Bottom2box	40%	37%	69%	61%	41%	41%	22%	40%	51%	30%	31%	39%	47%	46%	49%	55%	18%	29%	40%	30%	41%	48%	43%	43%	32%

Q5. Level of concern - The environmental impact of constructing the second pipeline beside the existing one

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
Very concerned	24%	28%	10%	14%	23%	20%	37%	23%	15%	33%	33%	23%	18%	18%	17%	12%	48%	30%	24%	30%	25%	17%	22%	25%	26%
Moderately concerned	29%	26%	14%	18%	28%	30%	37%	34%	25%	33%	30%	32%	26%	29%	25%	21%	31%	39%	30%	33%	28%	27%	29%	28%	31%
Not that concerned	27%	27%	30%	31%	31%	31%	16%	28%	32%	22%	22%	22%	34%	28%	27%	39%	17%	17%	30%	24%	28%	28%	28%	25%	26%
Not concerned at all	20%	19%	46%	38%	18%	19%	9%	14%	28%	12%	14%	22%	25%	32%	28%	5%	14%	16%	13%	18%	28%	21%	22%	17%	
Top2box	54%	54%	24%	31%	51%	50%	75%	58%	40%	66%	64%	56%	44%	47%	42%	33%	79%	69%	54%	63%	54%	44%	51%	53%	57%
Bottom2box	46%	46%	76%	69%	49%	50%	25%	42%	60%	34%	36%	44%	56%	53%	58%	67%	21%	31%	46%	37%	46%	56%	49%	47%	43%

Q5. Level of concern - The increased oil tanker traffic in the Burrard Inlet in Metro Vancouver visually detracting from the natural beauty

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
Very concerned	24%	29%	7%	13%	17%	21%	36%	18%	16%	31%	28%	24%	20%	18%	19%	13%	37%	29%	28%	28%	25%	18%	22%	24%	25%
Moderately concerned	29%	26%	16%	18%	34%	29%	36%	36%	28%	30%	32%	27%	29%	29%	26%	30%	34%	29%	32%	27%	28%	29%	29%	29%	30%
Not that concerned	28%	26%	36%	33%	31%	33%	19%	29%	30%	27%	24%	29%	31%	26%	28%	34%	22%	31%	28%	27%	29%	29%	27%	27%	29%
Not concerned at all	19%	18%	42%	36%	17%	18%	8%	16%	26%	12%	16%	20%	19%	27%	28%	24%	7%	12%	15%	13%	18%	25%	20%	20%	16%
Top2box	53%	56%	22%	31%	52%	50%	73%	54%	44%	61%	60%	51%	50%	47%	44%	42%	71%	57%	57%	60%	53%	46%	51%	53%	55%
Bottom2box	47%	44%	78%	69%	48%	50%	27%	46%	56%	39%	40%	49%	50%	53%	56%	58%	29%	43%	43%	40%	47%	54%	49%	47%	45%

Q5. Level of concern - The risk of an oil spill or accident from a tanker carrying oil through the water of Metro Vancouver

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
Very concerned	38%	47%	14%	24%	31%	34%	57%	32%	30%	47%	47%	38%	33%	34%	30%	26%	59%	45%	39%	45%	40%	31%	34%	40%	44%
Moderately concerned	29%	27%	26%	24%	40%	32%	26%	33%	30%	29%	30%	28%	30%	32%	26%	32%	28%	30%	29%	29%	30%	29%	31%	25%	32%
Not that concerned	21%	17%	33%	30%	15%	23%	11%	31%	25%	17%	15%	21%	25%	20%	25%	30%	11%	18%	21%	19%	19%	25%	23%	22%	16%
Not concerned at all	11%	10%	27%	22%	14%	10%	7%	5%	15%	7%	8%	13%	12%	14%	20%	12%	2%	7%	12%	6%	11%	16%	12%	13%	8%
Top2box	68%	74%	40%	48%	71%	67%	82%	65%	59%	76%	77%	66%	63%	66%	56%	58%	87%	75%	67%	74%	70%	60%	65%	65%	76%
Bottom2box	32%	26%	60%	52%	29%	33%	18%	35%	41%	24%	23%	34%	37%	34%	44%	42%	13%	25%	33%	26%	30%	40%	35%	35%	24%

Q6. Thinking about the actions and approach to the pipeline issue taken by the federal government under Prime Minister Justin Trudeau, would you say it has been:

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
Doing too little to build new pipeline capacity	37%	33%	71%	70%	45%	39%	17%	35%	47%	29%	29%	36%	45%	41%	45%	53%	17%	28%	38%	29%	38%	43%	40%	40%	30%
Pushing too hard to build more pipeline capacity	35%	43%	8%	16%	36%	31%	55%	29%	27%	43%	48%	35%	26%	34%	29%	18%	60%	41%	33%	45%	36%	25%	33%	36%	38%
Striking the right balance overall	27%	24%	21%	14%	19%	30%	28%	35%	26%	28%	24%	28%	29%	25%	25%	28%	23%	31%	30%	26%	26%	32%	27%	24%	32%

Q7. And thinking about the approach the federal government has taken to environmental protection when it comes to pipelines and tankers, would you say it has been:

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
Doing too little to protect the environment	43%	50%	20%	28%	44%	36%	61%	45%	35%	50%	55%	43%	33%	43%	36%	27%	67%	49%	40%	50%	47%	32%	38%	43%	50%
Pushing too hard to protect the environment	28%	20%	52%	55%	33%	33%	13%	15%	35%	21%	22%	30%	30%	32%	38%	34%	13%	23%	26%	22%	26%	33%	32%	29%	20%
Striking the right balance overall	29%	31%	27%	17%	23%	32%	25%	40%	31%	28%	23%	27%	36%	25%	26%	39%	21%	29%	34%	27%	27%	35%	30%	28%	30%

Q8. As far as you're concerned, should this kind of local opposition carry:

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
No weight at all – local opposition should not be able to block	23%	22%	50%	43%	21%	23%	11%	17%	27%	19%	14%	23%	31%	17%	30%	33%	10%	17%	28%	19%	21%	28%	27%	24%	17%
A little weight	37%	30%	37%	35%	35%	42%	30%	50%	39%	35%	36%	36%	39%	42%	34%	42%	30%	38%	37%	36%	37%	39%	37%	37%	37%
A lot of weight	22%	32%	10%	13%	33%	23%	21%	20%	20%	24%	28%	24%	16%	27%	23%	13%	30%	24%	19%	22%	24%	22%	17%	20%	32%
All the weight – local areas should be able to veto	18%	15%	3%	9%	10%	12%	38%	13%	13%	22%	22%	17%	14%	14%	14%	12%	30%	21%	16%	24%	18%	10%	18%	19%	15%
Top2box	60%	52%	87%	78%	57%	65%	41%	67%	67%	54%	49%	59%	70%	59%	63%	75%	40%	55%	65%	54%	58%	67%	64%	61%	54%
Bottom2box	40%	48%	13%	22%	43%	35%	59%	33%	33%	46%	51%	41%	30%	41%	37%	25%	60%	45%	35%	46%	42%	33%	36%	39%	46%

Q9. And regardless of your personal views of the TransMountain pipeline expansion, do you feel it will ultimately be built?

	Total	Region							Gender		Age			Age_Gender						Income			Education		
		BC	AB	SK	MB	ON	QC	ATL	Male	Female	18-34	35-54	55+	Male 18-34	Male 35-54	Male 55+	Female 18-34	Female 35-54	Female 55+	<\$50K	\$50K-<\$100K	\$100K+	High School or Less	Coll/Tech	Univ+
Yes, it will be built	59%	69%	60%	49%	57%	57%	56%	69%	62%	57%	56%	58%	64%	57%	61%	67%	55%	55%	60%	56%	61%	63%	57%	59%	63%
No, it will never be built	12%	9%	13%	16%	4%	13%	13%	9%	12%	12%	15%	10%	12%	15%	12%	10%	14%	8%	14%	13%	11%	12%	15%	10%	11%
Not sure/Can't say	28%	22%	27%	34%	39%	30%	30%	22%	26%	31%	30%	32%	24%	28%	27%	22%	31%	36%	26%	30%	29%	24%	28%	31%	26%