|  | Total | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  | Household Income |  |  | Education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BC | AB | MB/SK | ON | PQ | ATL | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | <\$50K | \$50-99K | \$100K+ | HS or less | College/ Tech school | Univ+ |
| Sample Size | 1508 | 228 | 165 | 96 | 558 | 364 | 97 | 737 | 771 | 431 | 565 | 512 | 538 | 498 | 229 | 635 | 477 | 396 |

Regional sample sizes are unweighted. All other sample sizes, including totals, are weighted to census data. Blank cells indicate zero responses were received. Cells containing "0\%" are statistically zero, though at least one response was received.

A1. Based on whatever you've seen, read, or heard about this agreement, would you say you support or oppose Canada's participation in it?

|  | Total | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  | Household Income |  |  | Education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BC | AB | MB/SK | ON | PQ | ATL | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | <\$50K | \$50-99K | \$100K+ | HS or less | College/ Tech school | Univ+ |
| Top 2 Box (Net) | 69\% | 69\% | 62\% | 59\% | 69\% | 75\% | 65\% | 69\% | 69\% | 71\% | 66\% | 70\% | 67\% | 71\% | 74\% | 65\% | 67\% | 78\% |
| (4) Strongly support | 39\% | 42\% | 22\% | 28\% | 40\% | 47\% | 37\% | 38\% | 40\% | 45\% | 36\% | 38\% | 39\% | 39\% | 43\% | 33\% | 39\% | 49\% |
| (3) Moderately support | 30\% | 27\% | 40\% | 31\% | 29\% | 28\% | 29\% | 31\% | 29\% | 26\% | 30\% | 33\% | 28\% | 32\% | 31\% | 32\% | 28\% | 30\% |
| (2) Moderately oppose | 6\% | 5\% | 9\% | 5\% | 7\% | 6\% | 4\% | 8\% | 5\% | 6\% | 7\% | 7\% | 6\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 7\% | 5\% |
| (1) Strongly oppose | 7\% | 9\% | 14\% | 10\% | 8\% | 2\% | 4\% | 11\% | 3\% | 3\% | 9\% | 9\% | 6\% | 6\% | 11\% | 7\% | 9\% | 6\% |
| Bottom 2 Box (Net) | 14\% | 15\% | 23\% | 15\% | 14\% | 9\% | 8\% | 19\% | 9\% | 9\% | 15\% | 16\% | 12\% | 14\% | 18\% | 14\% | 16\% | 11\% |
| Not sure | 18\% | 16\% | 15\% | 26\% | 16\% | 16\% | 26\% | 12\% | 22\% | 20\% | 19\% | 14\% | 21\% | 15\% | 8\% | 22\% | 18\% | 11\% |

A2. How confident are you that the federal and provincial governments will reach an agreement setting specific new targets for reducing Canada's greenhouse gasemissions within, say, the next year? Would you say you are:

|  | Total | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  | Household Income |  |  | Education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BC | AB | MB/SK | ON | PQ | ATL | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | <\$50K | \$50-99K | \$100K+ | HS or less | College/ Tech school | Univ+ |
| Top 2 Box (Net) | 56\% | 51\% | 45\% | 46\% | 55\% | 71\% | 48\% | 51\% | 61\% | 60\% | 57\% | 52\% | 58\% | 59\% | 52\% | 53\% | 58\% | 60\% |
| (4) Very confident | 10\% | 8\% | 8\% | 4\% | 9\% | 16\% | 6\% | 9\% | 11\% | 12\% | 9\% | 9\% | 12\% | 9\% | 10\% | 11\% | 10\% | 9\% |
| (3) Somewhat confident | 46\% | 43\% | 37\% | 42\% | 45\% | 55\% | 43\% | 42\% | 50\% | 48\% | 48\% | 43\% | 46\% | 50\% | 42\% | 41\% | 48\% | 52\% |
| (2) Not very confident | 32\% | 37\% | 43\% | 39\% | 32\% | 21\% | 44\% | 35\% | 30\% | 31\% | 31\% | 35\% | 31\% | 31\% | 36\% | 35\% | 32\% | 29\% |
| (1) Not at all confident | 11\% | 12\% | 11\% | 15\% | 13\% | 9\% | 7\% | 14\% | 9\% | 9\% | 11\% | 14\% | 11\% | 10\% | 12\% | 13\% | 10\% | 11\% |
| Bottom 2 Box (Net) | 44\% | 49\% | 55\% | 54\% | 45\% | 29\% | 52\% | 49\% | 39\% | 40\% | 43\% | 48\% | 42\% | 41\% | 48\% | 47\% | 42\% | 40\% |

## A3. Assuming the First Ministers set specific new targets that are at least somewhat more ambitious for reducing greenhouse gas emissions,how confident are you that Canada will actually meet those new targets? Would you say that

 is:|  | Total | Region |  |  |  |  |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  | Household Income |  |  | Education |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BC | AB | MB/SK | ON | PQ | ATL | Male | Female | 18-34 | 35-54 | 55+ | <\$50K | \$50-99K | \$100K+ | HS or less | College/ Tech school | Univ+ |
| Top 2 Box (Net) | 44\% | 38\% | 35\% | 34\% | 42\% | 59\% | 44\% | 40\% | 49\% | 45\% | 43\% | 46\% | 46\% | 47\% | 41\% | 42\% | 45\% | 49\% |
| (4) Very likely | 6\% | 5\% | 4\% | 1\% | 6\% | 8\% | 4\% | 6\% | 6\% | 8\% | 5\% | 4\% | 7\% | 6\% | 4\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| (3) Somewhat likely | 39\% | 32\% | 31\% | 33\% | 36\% | 51\% | 40\% | 34\% | 43\% | 37\% | 37\% | 41\% | 39\% | 41\% | 38\% | 36\% | 39\% | 43\% |
| (2) Not very likely | 32\% | 37\% | 34\% | 32\% | 35\% | 23\% | 35\% | 33\% | 31\% | 32\% | 33\% | 30\% | 31\% | 31\% | 35\% | 34\% | 30\% | 31\% |
| (1) Not at all likely | 16\% | 18\% | 22\% | 22\% | 15\% | 11\% | 10\% | 21\% | 11\% | 11\% | 18\% | 17\% | 15\% | 14\% | 20\% | 15\% | 18\% | 15\% |
| Bottom 2 Box (Net) | 47\% | 55\% | 56\% | 54\% | 50\% | 34\% | 45\% | 54\% | 41\% | 43\% | 51\% | 48\% | 46\% | 45\% | 55\% | 48\% | 48\% | 46\% |
| Not sure | 8\% | 8\% | 9\% | 11\% | 8\% | 7\% | 11\% | 7\% | 10\% | 12\% | 7\% | 7\% | 9\% | 8\% | 4\% | 10\% | 8\% | 6\% |

